Application No.: 10/065,580 122244-1

Reply to August 16, 2006 Office Action

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently amended) A method of assessing the cost tradeoffs associated with performing An inspection method [[,]] comprising:

determining <u>a plurality of</u> measurement variations and product characteristic variations to define an inspection plane;

dividing the inspection plane into a plurality of regions corresponding to respective different outcomes resulting from an inspection process;

determining the probability of each outcome based on a probability mass in each region of the inspection plane, wherein the probability mass is based on a joint probability density of the measurement and product characteristic variations;

associating costs to various outcomes based on the inspection process; and computing overall costs of the inspection process by using the associated costs and the determined probability of each outcome based on the regions of the inspection plane; performing a first inspection on a product to obtain an inspection outcome; and accepting or rejecting the product based on the inspection outcome.

- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising optimizing the computed overall costs by varying the costs associated with the various outcomes.
- (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising optimizing the computed overall costs by varying the permissible product characteristic inspection limit.
- 4. (Original) The method according to claim 1, further comprising optimizing the computed overall costs by using an inspection limit that is different from a permissible product specification limit.
- 5. (Original) The method according to claim 4, wherein the step of dividing the inspection plane into the plurality of regions based on the different outcomes is

Application No.: 10/065,580 122244-1

Reply to August 16, 2006 Office Action

performed using the inspection limit that is different from a permissible product specification limit.

6. (Original) The method according to claim 3, wherein the inspection limit is

narrower than a permissible product characteristic specification limit.

7. (Original) The method according to claim 6, wherein the step of

determining measurement variations and permissible product characteristic variations

comprises receiving input values for a process and measurement joint probability density function, a lower specification limit, an upper specification limit, a lower inspection limit,

and an upper inspection limit.

8. (Original) The method according to claim 1, wherein the step of

determining the probability of each outcome comprises summing a joint probability density

of the measurement variation and the product characteristic variation in each region.

9. (Original) The method according to claim 8, wherein the probability

density functions of the measurement variation and the product characteristic variation are

normal distributions.

10. (Original) The method according to claim 1, wherein the step of

associating costs to various outcomes comprises associating unit costs to events of

product inspection (CI), product scrapping or rework (CR), escaping defect (CE), and

unnecessary rework (CR).

11. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 10, wherein the step

of dividing the inspection plane into a plurality of regions comprises dividing the

inspection plane into the following regions - product within its specification limit is

accepted with probability p 1, product outside its specification limit is rejection rejected

with probability p2, product within its specification limit is rejected with probability p3,

and product outside its specification limit is accepted with probability p4.

-3-

Reply to August 16, 2006 Office Action

12. (Original) The method according to claim 11, wherein the step of computing overall costs comprises calculating unit costs for the events as follows – product inspection (I*CI), product scrap or rework ((p2+p3)* CR), escaping defect (p4*CE), and unnecessary rework (p3*CR).

- 13. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, further comprising performing a second inspection on all products rejected in [[a]] the first inspection.
- 14. (Original) The method according to claim 13, wherein the second inspection comprises altering the measurement variations from the first inspection.
- 15. (Original) The method according to claim 14, wherein the second inspection comprises using a different product characteristic inspection limit from the first inspection.
- 16. (Original) A system for assessing the cost tradeoffs associated with performing inspections, comprising:

an input unit for receiving input measurement variations, product characteristics variations, and associated costs of various outcomes based on an inspection process; and a computing unit connected to the input unit and configured to:

define an inspection plane based on the input measurement variations and the product characteristic variations;

divide the inspection plane into a plurality of regions corresponding to the respective different outcomes resulting from the inspection process;

determine the probability of each outcome based on the probability mass in each regions of the inspection plane, wherein the probability mass is determined based on the joint probability density of the measurement variation and the product characteristic variation in each region; and

computing overall costs of the inspection process by using the associated costs and the determined probability of each outcome based on the regions of the inspection plane.

Application No.: 10/065,580 122244-1

Reply to August 16, 2006 Office Action

inspection plane.

17. (Original) A computer readable data storage medium having program code recorded thereon for assessing the cost tradeoffs associated with performing inspections,

the program code causing a computing system to perform steps comprising:

determining measurement variations and permissible product characteristic variations to define an inspection plane;

dividing the inspection plane into a plurality of regions corresponding to respective different outcomes resulting from an inspection process;

determining the probability of each outcome based on the probability mass in each region of the inspection plane, wherein the probability mass is determined based on the joint probability density of the measurement variation and the product characteristic variation in each region;

associating costs to various outcomes based on the inspection process; and computing overall costs of the inspection process by using the associated costs and the determined probability of each outcome based on the regions of the